Of

WITNESSEE

| Defense' Witnesses | Page |
|------------------------------|-------|
| KAWABE, Masakazu (resumed) | 21722 |
| Cross by Mr. Sutton (cont'd) | 21722 |
| Redirect by Mr. Logan | 21726 |
| (Witness excused) | 21739 |
| HASEGAWA, Kiyoshi | 21740 |
| Direct by Mr. Logan | 21740 |
| (Witness excused) | 21742 |
| AMANO, Shoichi | 21743 |
| Direct by Mr. Logan | 21743 |
| MORNING RECESS | 21747 |
| Direct by Mr. Logan (cont'd) | 21748 |
| Cross by Mr. Comvns Carr | 21760 |
| (Witness excused) | 21763 |
| USHIJIMA, Sadao | 21764 |
| Direct by Mr. Logan | 21764 |
| (Witness excused) | 21767 |
| SANO, Torata | 21768 |
| Direct by Mr. Logan | 21768 |
| NOON RECESS | 21769 |

Of

"ITNESSES

(cont'd)

Defense' witnesses

Page

SANO, Torata (cont'd)

Direct by Mr. Logan

21770

(witness excused) 21785

YOKOYAMA, Isamu

21794

Direct by Mr.Freeman

21794

(Witness excused) 21804

AFTERNOON RECESS

Of

EXHIBITS

| Doo | | Pros. | Description | For Ident. | In Evidence |
|-----|---------|-------|--|--|----------------|
| 129 | 95 2561 | | Chief of Staff Very Sec Central China Operat Order No. 125 - Orde the Expeditionary An Central China, dated October, Commanding quarters Kiuking | cional er to emy in | 21729 |
| 127 | 2562 | | Excerpt from "Soldiers the China Expedition Forces during the Pa War" | nary | 21735 |
| 137 | 70 2563 | | Affidavit of HASEGAWA, Kiyoshi | | 21741 |
| 135 | 53 2564 | | Affidavit of AMANO, Sho | oichi | 21748 |
| ġa | 23 2565 | | Article appearing on 6 1944 in The ASAHI SI (published with the "Embrace the People Great Love") dispate the 5th from a Membe the Information Corr the Front Lines of I Province to Officers Men | title with ched on er of ps from HUNAN | 21758 |
| 108 | 39 2566 | | Affidavit of USHIJIMA (with the exception paragraph 3 thereo. | of | 21765 |
| 100 | 02 2567 | | Affidavit of SANO, To | rata | 21770 |
| 99 | 99 2568 | | Photograph showing the quarters Unit of the Regiment Marching in Japanese Concession Hankow | e SANO n the | 21782 |

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

| Doc. | Def. No. | Pros. | | or | In Evidence |
|------|-------------|-------|--|----|----------------|
| 1000 | 2569 | | Map showing the various Sectors or Divisions of Hankow, showing various Routes of Entry of the various Units and their disposition | | 21783 |
| 1001 | 2570 | | Description of Inscriptions on the Map, "a, b, c" etc. | | 21784 |
| 1342 | 2571 | | Affidavit of SAKURAI, Tokuta | ro | 21792 |
| 875 | 2572 | | Affidavit of YOKOYAMA, Isamu | ı | 21795 |
| 1012 | 2573 | | Affidavit of ARUGA, Kazunaga | | 21807 |

Thursday, 8 May 1947 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930. Appearances: For the Tribunal, same as before. For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense, same as before. (English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: I have the following statement to make on behalf of the Tribunal.

The Tribunal views with concern the waste of material and time due to the processing and tendering of, and argument upon, documents which the Tribunal, in view of earlier decisions, is quite unlikely to admit as evidence.

The Tribunal thinks that this is not due to any desire on the part of the defense counsel to withhold their cooperation with the Tribunal to secure an expeditious trial but that it is due to the fact that very few, if any, defense counsel spend the whole of their time in court. In fact, it is not practicable that all defense counsel should do so. While one section is presenting a part of the defense other sections are necessarily absent preparing later stages of the defense case. Because of this the decisions of the Tribunal are not known to all counsel unless they carefully read and study the transcript of the proceedings.

To illustrate the cause of some of the delay, the Tribunal refers to the repeated attempted breaches of the rule that opinion evidence will not

be received. The Tribunal cannot receive opinions on law nor opinions on any other matter not the proper subject of expert evidence.

Again, there are repeated attempted breaches of the rule that the contents of a document cannot be stated in evidence unless the document is produced or convincing reasons given why it is not available for production.

Again, much time is wasted in tendering, against the decisions of the Tribunal, statements in the nature of propaganda. We refer mere particularly to statements in newspapers and to statements issued for public consumption by the spokesman of, say, the Japanese Foreign Office. The Tribunal has admitted many statements by the Foreign Minister and other Japanese ministers, including the accused, which might well appear to be propaganda. These have been admitted to enable the Japanese Government's viewpoint to be revealed. But, obviously, it is unnecessary to tender many of these when, as a matter of fact, they are nearly all to the same effect.

The Tribunal earnestly invites counsel, both American and Japanese, to study the decisions of the Tribunal on evidence with a view to saving material and time.

If counsel for any reason think a document should be tendered, notwithstanding any decision of the Tribunal to the contrary, there is nothing to prevent them at a convenient time from listing all such documents and having them formally objected to and rejected for record purposes. That would meet every possible requirement without involving any waste of paper or time.

Mr. Sutton.

MR. SUTTON: I desire to ask only a few questions.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MASAKAZU KAWABE, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

15 16

CROSS-EXAMINATION

17

18

BY MR. SUTTON (Continued):

19 20 Q How much in secret funds were sent to the Central China Expeditionary Forces under the command of General HATA while you were chief of staff from February to December, 1938?

22

21

A I have no recollection as to the amount.

2324

25

Q Can you tell us approximately how much in secret funds were sent to the China Expeditionary

Forces under the command of General HATA while you

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a

were general chief of staff from August 1942 until the summer of 1943?

A Regarding this point also I regret that I have no recollection.

Q What accounting, if any, was made of these secret funds?

A What do you mean by contents and others?

Q I mean the report as to the manner in which they were used.

A These were handled as official documents, accounting documents, but I do not know where they are or what they contain -- I do not know where they are at the present time.

Q Is it not a fact that the officers to whom the secret funds were sent were not required to account for their use?

A It was not that there was no necessity to make reports but from the standpoint of accounting, the responsible persons took appropriate measures as official accounting documents.

It was not that no explanation was required but these documents, that is, accounting documents, were handled by the respective responsible persons and handled as accounting documents.

Q To whom were the reports of the use of the

secret service fund sent?

A It is supposed to have been sent -- it was sent to the general staff command in Tokyo from the China Expeditionary Forces.

Q Were not these secret funds used to assist and promote the so-called "autonomous movement" in China?

A There is no such case.

Q These funds were in addition to the regular army budget, were they not?

A The army in China, although I do not know what the Central Command had in mind, I can say that the expeditionary forces in China received this money and although they are called secret funds they were used as official funds by the expeditionary forces in their budget -- the expeditionary forces as well as the various units under its command. The secret funds although called secret funds were handled in the same manner as ordinary funds.

Q They were in addition to the regular army budget, were they not?

A As far as the expeditionary forces were concerned they handled these funds in the ordinary way.

Q Were any other funds sent to the Central China Expeditionary Forces except the secret fund

.

15

2

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

19 20

21

22

24

referred to on page 7 of your affidavit? A There is no such thing. Q And these were all the funds that you received from Tokyo? A Yes. MR. SUTTON: That concludes the cress-

examination.

MR. LOGAN: There will be no redirect examination, if the Tribunal please.

I ask permission to introduce through this witness two orders, one of which refers to a portion of the witness' testimony which was objected to by the prosecution yesterday on the ground we had not produced the document. I now have it. May I proceed to do so?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, you may.

MR. LOGAN: May the witness be shown defense document No. 1295.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: The prosecution objects to the introduction of this document.

I beg my friend's pardon. I understood he had offered it. He tells me he has not offered it yet.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. LOGAN:

Q Will you tell us what that document is?

A That is an order issued by the Commanding Headquarters at Kiuking on the 24th of October by the Commander of the expeditionary army in Central China prior to the Hankow campaign in order to raise the morale of the troops.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q And was General HATA the Commander at that time?

A Yes.

No. 1295 in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, it is suggested that the witness has just given the best ground for rejecting this document that could be given, namely, that it was issued to raise the morale of the troops.

THE MONITOR: This is the Language Section speaking, sir. The words, "raise the morale," were used in error. The word "Kisei" means to regulate armies, discipline.

THE PRESIDENT: What part of the affidation wit mentions this particular document?

IR. LOGAN: I believe it is intended, if your Honor please, to cover that paragraph on the bottom of page 4 and top of page 5, which was not read due to the objection of the prosecution.

THE PRESIDENT: That paragraph 4, that was objected to, that particular part was objected to.

AR. LOGAN: That is right.

18

20

21

22 23

24

THE PRESIDENT: And the objection was sustained because the document was not produced or accounted for then. Well, this is the document or a copy thereof. Brigadier Quilliam has contended it is irrelevant anyhow.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: That is so. It is submitted, if it please your Honor, that the only sentence in it that could have any application to any issue in this case is to be found at the bottom of page 1 in paragraph 1. It is submitted that those words referring to control and preventing disorder cannot make this document admissible as relevant.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, perhaps, it helps the prosecution. My attention has been drawn to the second paragraph of this defense document, 1295, which discloses that the original plen was to advance on three cities, Wuchang, Hankow, and Hanyang. The disorder referred to, as pointed out by Brigadier Quillian, would appear to be disorder within the Japanese Army itself and to be related to an effective advance by that army. It refers to, as one of my colleagues puts it, conduct in the nature of military disorder and not vis-a-vis civilians; but toward the end of the order on

THE PRESIDENT: And the objection was sustained because the document was not produced or accounted for then. Well, this is the document or a copy thereof. Brigadier Quilliam has contended it is irrelevant anyhow.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: That is so. It is submitted if it planse your Honor, that the only

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: That is so. It is submitted, if it please your Honor, that the only sentence in it that could have any application to any issue in this case is to be found at the bottom of page 1 in paragraph 1. It is submitted that those words referring to control and preventing disorder cannot make this document admissible as relevant.

the presecution. My attention has been drawn to the second paragraph of this defense document, 1295, which discloses that the original plan was to advance on three cities, Wuchang, Hankow, and Hanyang. The disorder referred to, as pointed out by Brigadier Quillian, would appear to be disorder within the Japanese Army itself and to be related to an effective advance by that army. It refers to, as one of my colleagues puts it, conduct in the nature of military disorder and not vis-a-vis civilians; but toward the end of the order on

21

22

23

24

25

page 2 there is a reference to no greater force being used than is absolutely necessary for clearing and garrisoning the area. That may extend, of course, to conduct vis-a-vis civilians.

The Tribunal overrules the objection and admits the document.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1295 will receive exhibit No. 2561.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2561 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN; I now read defense document 1295, being exhibit 2561:

"Chief of the Staff Very Secret Central China Operational Order A No. 125.

"Order to the Expeditionary Army in Central China. 10 a. m., October 24. Commanding Headquarters Kiuking.

"1. Pressed by our brave, united action, the enery seem to have begun a general retreat. The 21st Army has already completely captured Canton.

"2. The Army is with swift and resolute movement, going to carry out the original plan to advance on the three cities, Wuchang, Hankow, and Hanyang.

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

23

25

"3. The 2nd and the 11th Armies shall advance on Wuchang, Hankow, and Hanyang according to the general instructions given in the attached paper.

"The Chiefs of Staff shall give concrete directions concerning details.

"Attached to the Order.

"General Instructions Concerning the Advance on the Three Cities of Wuhan Area.

"1. The two armies shall see that units which are to be engaged in the attack on the three cities, Wuchang, Hankow, and Hanyang are well controlled with regard to the area and order of action and numerical strength of each unit, so that disorder may be prevented in the course of their advance.

"The areas of operation to be placed under the above control are to be bounded by the following lines:

"Hankow:

The 1st boundary along the Chankung embarkment.

"The 2nd boundary along the railway between the Philipping Wharf and the point on the embarkment opposite the lower wharf of the Kang Yao-chang (TN: an ammunition factory) situated on the Hansui River.)

"Wuchang:

"Along the old city wall except in the north, where the boundary as the railway between Tzekinshan and Hankuan.

"Hanyang:

"Along the Kiakiang, the creek leging to Tungyuehhu and the line reaching the east end of Kang Yao-chang via Tungyuehhu.

mentioned limits, it shall appoint special units to advance into and clear the area. The numerical strength and the order of action of such units shall be decided by the commanders of the two armies according to the area of operation of each army. However, it is required that no greater force than that absolutely necessary for the clearing and garrisoning of the area shall be admitted into the area."

2

3

5

7

May the witness be shown defense document

1274

Will you tell us what that document is?

un

A This document was issued to the units under the command of the China Expeditionary Forces on 8 December 1942 as Chief of the General Staff in accordance with the wishes of the commander, and the order sent to all troops under command of the expeditionary forces. It is a part of that order.

9

Q Who issued that order?

10

A It is not an order. It is a record of a

12

13

11

broadcast that I had made at that time and the purport of this was to convey the ideas and thoughts of the

14

commander in understandable, plain words to the soldiers, officers and men.

16

MR. LOGAN: I offer in evidence defense

17

document 1274.

18

MR. SUTTON: Prosecution objects to the introduction of this document as it is not referred

21

20

to in the affidavit of this witness.

THE PRESIDENT: No, it is not a document at

23

22

all. I' appears to be a record made by him. He could refresh his memory from it. If this were not reexamination he could be asked to amplify paragraph 14

24 25

of the affidavit and could refresh his memory from

Nothing was raised in cross-examination about this paragraph. Shortly, it is not a document. It is merely notes from which the "itness might have refreshed his memory when being examined in chief.

That is my view, but at all events I do not think it is a document in the sense that a document is intended here by these rules. It is not referred to in the affidavit in any event as a document

MR. LOGAN: The certificate, your Honor, shows that it is an excerpt from a booklet, "Soldiers of the China Expeditionary Force during the Pacific War," compiled and issued by order of Supreme Commander HATA for the subordinates of his command.

G r 2 e 3 n b 4 r 5 g & B a 8 t 9 n10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

THE PRESIDENT: Let us hear again what the witness said about this and compare it with the certificate. They do not appear to be consistent.

Will the English court reporter please tell us what the witness said about these notes?

(Whereupon, the official court

reporter read as follows:)

"A This document was issued to the units under the command of the China Expeditionary Forces on 8 December, 1942 as Chief of the General Staff in accordance with the wishes of the commander, and the order sent to all troops under command of the Expeditionary Forces. It is a part of that order.

"Q Who issued that order?

"A It is not an order. It is a record of a broadcast that I had made at that time, and the purport of this was to convey the ideas and thoughts of the commander in understandable, plain words to the soldiers, officers and men,"

THE MRESIDENT: It is a record of a broadcast that the witness made. As regards him, it is only notes of something he heard from which he could refresh his memory, and there is no rule of evidence that admits it. But, we are not bound by the rules of evidence; and, if my colleagues want the thing

23

19

20

21

22

admitted as a document, well, let it be admitted. That is all I can say.

By a majority, the Court overrules the objection and admits the paper.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1274 will receive exhibit No. 2562.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2562 and received in evidence.)

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

MR. LOGAN: I will now read defense document 1274, being exhibit 2562:

"Excerpt from 'Soldiers of the China Expeditionary Forces during the Pacific War'

"On December 8, 1942.

"The General Headquarters of the China Expeditionary Forces.

> "From the fifth line of the ten page "To the eighth line of the eleventh page. "Establish strict military discipline.

"Military discipline is the foundation of the army. Officers and men should be warned against the tendency of becoming weary of war at this time when the war has already been in progress for a long time. If the determination to bring the long war to a successful close should be shattered in battle, or give way to feelings, be influenced by the environment, act with violence, and thus impair the prestige and sacredness of the army, you would not only disgrace the meritorious service of your predecessors, but would be sure to be alienated from the Chinese people and would be prevented from attaining the object of the holy war. Bear in mind the fact that the dignified attitude of the Imperial Army and the dignity of strict military discipline are the most essential factor to attain the ob-

24 25

..

ject of the holy war, thereby enabling you to annihilate the powerful enemy, to maintain public peace and order and to win the confidence of the people at large. You should, of course, strive to make complete the supreme command and to carry out your duties thoroughly; in additon, you should reflect on your conduct at all time so that it is not opposite the fundamental principle of the army.

"From the sixth line of the thirteenth page"To the second line of the sixteenth page.

"2. The keynote of the friendly relations
between Japan and China lies in showing Japan's sincerity toward China; for more important is the showing
of personal sincerity by both the Chinese and Japanese.
Every act on the part of the officers and men of the
Japanese army should emanate from the spirit in which
they are prompted to translate sincerity into action.
This practice should, in compliance with the Imperial
will, be based on the sincere sentiment of neighborliness and on such broadmindedness as places hearty
confidence in other persons.

"3 Respect the tradition and the manners and customs of the Chinese. Every race has its own tradition. There are many cases when we get results which are contrary to our expectation, because of our in-

3 4

7 8

capability of understanding the character, tradition, manners, and customs of the Chinese, and treat them according to the Japanese manner or what is better known as the temper of a soldier, and in extreme cases force them into obeying without reasons. Moreover, in carrying our guard duty many forget from time to time the spiritual side of things and try to enforce things only through formality, and in this way without realizing it increases bitter feelings among the Chinese.

the honor of the Chinese without realizing it, and to interfere with their affairs, or incur their ill-feeling by speaking carelessly to them, or if you lose their friendly feeling by saying or doing in a fit of passion such thoughtless words or deeds as they feel insulting in the presence of the public and if they have a grudge against the Japanese, then the spiritual combination of the Chinese with the Japanese cannot possibly be attained and the prospect of the holy war will be far from bright. The officers and men of the China Expeditionary Forces should realize the fact that the Chinese people will admire and be devoted to the Japanese only when the latter bear themselves properly and are lenient toward the former."

MR. LOGAN: May the witness be excused on the

```
usual terms?
   THE PRESIDENT: The witness is excused
2
    accordingly.
        (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

MR. LOGAN: I ask that the witness HASEGAWA, Kiyoshi be called to the stand. 1 2 KIYOSHI HASEGAWA, called as a witness 3 on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. LOGAN: 9 What is your name and address? 10 My name is HASEGAWA, Kiyoshi. My address 11 is Tokyo, Shibuyaku, Yoyogi, Oyamacho 1032. 12 THE MONITOR: Mr. Logan, the Language Section 13 does not have the affidavit of this witness. Unless 14 we have it, we cannot give you simultaneous trans-15 lation. 16 MR. LOGAN: 1370? 17 THE MONITOR: No, sir. 18 MR. LOGAN: We'll hand it to you in a minute. 19 THE PRESIDENT: It is a very brief affidavit. 20 Q Will you examine defense document 1370 and 21 tell us if that is your signature and seal affixed 22 thereto? 23 A Yes, there is. 24

Are the statements contained in that affi-

davit accurate and true? There is no mistake. MR. LOGAN: I offer in evidence defense 2 3 document 1370. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1370 6 will receive exhibit No. 2563. 7 (Whereupon, the document above re-8 ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. 9 2563 and received in evidence.) 10 MR. LOGAN: I shall now read in evidence defense document 1370, being exhibit 2563, affidavit 11 12 of HASEGAWA, Kiyoshi. 13 "My brief history is: "Graduated from Naval College in December, 14 15 1903. 16 "Appointed rear-admiral in December, 1927. 17 "Appointed Admiral in April, 1939. 18 "Placed on the Flag-ship IZUMO, as the 19 Commander-in-Chief of the Third Fleet, from December 20 1936 to April, 1939, and stayed chiefly in Shanghai. 21 "The Headquarters of the Third Fleet was 22 placed in Shanghai and its duty was to guard the coast 23 of China. That is, protect the lives and property of 24 Japanese residents on the coast of China and also to

guard the vested rights of our Empire.

Fleet was to practice maneuvers off Penghu Islands, in cooperation with the Formosa Army. Therefore, I went to Formosa at the head of the Third Fleet and on the 7th of July, 1937 was at the harbour of Takao.

I was informed there on the evening of the 17th July of a conflict between Japan and China at the Marco Polo Bridge in North China, and although I thought it might not become serious, according to the duty of the Third Fleet, I stopped the maneuvers and went back to Shanghai on 8th, July. The Marco Polo Bridge Incident was quite an unexpected event to me, in my capacity of Commander-in-Chief of the Third Fleet.

"On this 24th day of April, 1947."

I understand there is an error in that, if your Honor please. That 17th of July should be the 7th.

Your witness.

the usual terms?

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution does not wish to cross-examine. MR. LOGAN: May the witness be released on

THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

24

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. LOGAN: May the witness AMANO, Shoichi 1 be called? 2 3 SHOICHI AMANO, called as a witness on 4 behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, 5 testified through Japanese interpreters as 6 follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. LOGAN: 9 Will you please let us have your name and 10 11 address? A My name is AMANO, Masakazu; address, 124 Tochigi, Nasu County, Nasu Oaga-machi, Toyohara. 13 General, will you examine defense document 14 1353 and tell us if that is your affidavit with your 15 signature contained on it and your seal affixed to 16 17 it? There is no mistake. It is my own. It is 18 19 my own writing. 20 Are the statements contained in that affida-21 vit accurate and true? 22 Yes. 23 MR. LOGAN: I offer in evidence defense 24 document 1353. 25 THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

bunal, the prosecution submits that this affidavit should be rejected in its entirety. It is submitted that even a short examination will show that it is prolix and that the greater part of it comments unnecessary repetition of evidence that has already been adduced. The first eight of the fifteen pages which comprise the affidavit are concerned with the capture of Hankow; and it is submitted that, having the evidence of at least ten witnesses on that subject, the Tribunal has enough evidence.

The remainder of the affidavit consists of detailed explanations of military maneuvers which really do not add anything to the determination of the issues in the case. I am not overlooking the fact that there are one or two of the usual references to the love they bear for the enemy, but I submit the Tribunal has had quite enough evidence on that point already.

In addition, the rule about documents is broken at page 4 at the very bottom and the whole of page 5, also on page 10 at paragraph one, and again in paragraph eleven. For these reasons, it is submitted that the affidavit should be rejected in its entirety.

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: In view of the prosecution's statement that it considers this evidence repetitive, if they want to concede that we have met the proof which was effered on the counts in reference to Hankow, why, we'd be satisfied to stop offering evidence on that point if the Tribunal will enter an order saying that evidence from now on will be cumulative.

THE PIESIDENT: The question, whether evidence is repetitive or cumulative, is primarily one for the Tribunal itself, ' although counsel may well make a submission to that effect. But this affidavit, like many others, is nearly all husk and contains very little kernel. That is our objection. I know it is a Japanese weakness to express themselves at great length, and it is difficult to control it, but the indulgence of this weakness has a devastating effect on the paper and ink supply. We have consumed one hundred tons of mimeograph paper and a vast quantity of ink. We are faced with an imminent shortage of both, and I have been obliged to investigate the matter especially within the last day or so. It is preventing me from circulating important matter among the Judges. Still, I do

 appreciate the difficulty that American counsel have in controlling this situation, but I do hope they will do their very best.

MR. LOGAN: With regard to the question of an order, if the Tribunal please, I have one order dated July 6, 1944, defense document 923, which I intend to put into evidence through this witness.

of all Members of the Tribunal, that you omit these paragraphs which deal with operations purely and also paragraphs based on documents not produced or accounted for.

MR. LOGAN: Of course, I believe the Tribunal understands that the reason why these operations are set forth in these affidavits is because of count 47 in the Indictment, because these affidavits not only go to the atrocity end of the case but also the manner in which the city was taken. It was taken in a peaceful manner, and we intend to show that there was no large slaughter of the inhabitants by the Japanese Army; it was a peaceful occupation.

THE PRESIDENT: If this is read into the transcript, the waste already involved would be increased tenfold at least with this large circulation of the transcript.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal feels it would not be of any assistance after it is admitted in evidence, when we take a recess I'll go through it and eliminate most of it.

THE PRESIDENT: If we are to conserve paper and ink supplies, it is necessary to do so, Mr. Logan.

We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1040, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

Kapleau & Whalen

13.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

Mh. LOGAN: I have cut that affidavit down considerably. I think if I read the parts, and read them slowly, we can follow it.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld, and is subject to the excision of the matter successfully objected to.

The document is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COUNT: Defense document 1353

will receive exhibit No. 2564.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2564 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I shall now read from defense document 1353, being exhibit 2564, commencing on page 4, the first paragraph.

"In the meantime the 11th Army, defeating the enemy stationed along the Yangtze River, completely occupied Wuchang, Hankow and Hanyang on the 25th of October."

Page 6, first paragraph.

"The actual progress of our operations, however, completely turned out contrary to our expectations.

When the main line of defense was destroyed the enemy

3

4

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

abandoned the defense of Wuchang and Hankow and was so badly routed as to surge backward westerly along the southern and northern districts of the Yangtze River that the Japanese forces were able to make a triumphal entry into Wuchang and Hankow without bloodshed.

"After the Tapieh Mountain break-through operations, I was dispatched from Army Headquarters to lead the operations of the advanced corps surrounding the enemy and reached Hankow on November 4th. At that time the City of Hankow, was, however, crowded with its residents and above all the traffic of Europeans, Americans, women and children was frequent and the shops there were open everywhere carrying on a brisk business quite contrary to the expected loneliness and dreariness immediately following a siege. But for the barricade surrounding the French Settlement and the remains of the Japanese Settlement which was thoroughly burnt down, it was difficult to discover any significant indication of war's havoc. It was probably because Chiang Kai-shek deserted Wuchang and Hankow prematurely and had retreated without leaving troops for the immediate guard and defense of Wuchang-Hankow, because the majority of the Chinese soldiers at the front had been prompt in fleeing west with but a few passing

24

through Wuchang and Hankow that the ravages that probably would have been committed by the Chinese soldiers were actually very small, with the result that these same places escaped both direct and indirect havoes of war. There were very few residents who had left their houses previous to the fall of these places also."

Page 11, second paragraph.

I might say at this point, if the Tribunal please, I have the order referred to here and will offer it later through this witness.

"When this operation commenced, the three principles 'den't burn, den't violate, den't leet,' which the Commander always advocated, were printed and distributed among the entire army. The Commander of the 6th Area Army carried cut the campaign to capture Wuchang and Hankow semetime back as the Commander of the 11th Army, and this time again he was appointed Commander of an Area Army in capturing Kweilin and Liuchow. His past policy in leading his troops has always been 'defeat Chiang but love his people.' When he arrived at his new post this time, he made an instructional announcement that his principle will be to 'defeat America but to love her people,' and spread this idea thoroughly among his officers and men.

"The wishes of the Supreme Commander and the Commanders of the Area Armies were also reflected in the mind of every lower commander and I believe that this operation was executed under strict military discipline without any violations. 5 "In carrying out the Operation No. 1 what was 6 mest difficult and what hampered the progress was 7 the already mentioned differences in air power between our forces and the enemy. This resulted in the absolute control of air by the enemy and in the stoppage 10 of long range supply line such as water routes and 11 12 railways. 13 "Relative Strength of Our Airpower and 14 that of the Enemy. 15 Atithe tine Atithe time At the tine Period of Kweilin of Hengyang of Changsha 16 Campaign Campaign Campaign 17 The No. of 800 750 590 Sinc-planes 18 Amer-at the ican front 19 Force of 300 . 20 240 170 Bembers 21 No. of The planes pre-22 150 160 220 Jap- pared anese 23 Air No. of Force light 24 25 25 160 bombers in 25 China

The estimated airstrengths of our forces and

12,

that of the enemy were roughly as shown on the foregoing table. The ratio of our air force to that of the
enemy at the beginning of the operation grew larger
and larger as the operation progressed, and in addition
as the compaign moved towards southwest the flight
range increased which made the difference in number
of planes seem greater."

THE PRESIDENT: Is it necessary to read this, Mr. Logan? It doesn't seem to have any bearing on any matter that we have under consideration.

MR. LOGAN: It goes into preparation for war, if the Tribunal please.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, it may.

MR. LOGAN: "In other words, our air force was unable to go into action in formation during the day. Only a few planes went into action at dawn and dusk. With the marked inferiority of bembers both in quality and in number, the best our planes could do was to infiltrate into the enemy territory at night and bomb his airfields or to carry out sniper like single plane attack at night upon strategic military objectives. Such a tactic of pattern bembing which destroys a greater part of a city as carried out by the Sino-American Air Force upon the City of Changsha around June 25 after our capture of the city

graph:

and upon the city of Hengyang around August 13, also after the city fell into our hand, was something that we could not even dream of undertaking."

Page 15, the last page, the first para-

"Also, what was different in this operation was that in Changsha and Hengyang the citizens who had returned to the cities suffered casualties and lost their homes through the indiscriminate bombings of the enemy planes, as already mentioned before, and some citizen were much delayed in returning and rehabilitation.

"Since with the Operation No. 1, our armies came to fight directly with those of the U.S.A. also on the China Continent, caution was taken to observe and to prevent violation of international laws."

May the witness be shown defense document 923?

(Thereupon, a document was handed the witness.)

"ill you examine defense document 923 and tell us what that is? 2 This document was issued in the name of 3 the Commander of the China Expeditionary Force. 4 It is instructions to the army -- to the soldiers in its command with regard to the civilian population, and this was published in the newspapers. Newspapermen were summoned -- invited, and this 8 9 was released through them -- in their presence. Is that the order that is referred to in 10 11 your affidavit as the "Don't burn, Don't violate, 12 Don't loot" order? 13 THE PRESIDENT: This is from the newspaper. 14 MR. LOGAN: "Te will explain that. 15 THE PRESIDENT: You can get it in as some-16 thing he read at the time and from which he can 17 refresh his recollection. 18 It is the details of what -- the concrete 19 presentation of the three principles mentioned in 20 my affidavit. BY MR. LOGAN: 22 Do you know where that original order 23

is?

A I believe that it has already

24

25

A I believe that it has already been burned and does not exist.

O Is this a true copy of the order as it was published in the Asahi Shimbun on July 6, 1944?

A I do not know whether at that time it was published or announced in the newspaper.

O Well, is the document 923, which you have before you, a true copy of the order which you referred to in your affidavit?

A The contents of course include these.

MR. LOGAN: I offer in evidence defense document 923.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Ouilliam.

BRIGADIER OUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, it is thought that the attention of the Tribunal should be drawn to the discrepancy in the dates. There is no doubt the Tribunal has already observed them.

the 20th of June, 1943, and the 6th of July, 1944, the date of the newspaper. Obviously this is not the order. It is a copy of the newspaper, and the newspaper appears over twelve months after the order. The witness couldn't refresh his memory from the newspaper in those circumstances, because the interval is so great. He could from a newspaper issued shortly after the event if he then read the

2

4

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

20

21 22

23

newspaper. Of course the rules of evidence do not apply in the circumstances. The Tribunal may decide to admit it, but it is clear that the rules of evidence exclude it, at all events.

MR. LOGAN: I understand, if the Tribunal please --

THE PRESIDENT: "e would like to know how the original came to be burned. This document was issued in China.

MR. LOGAN: I will ask him about that, your Fonor, but I wanted to point out it is my understanding that although the order was issued twelve months prior, it was not submitted to or published in the newspaper until a year later, July, 1944.

THE PRESIDENT: That doesn't remove the disability; it merely emphasizes it. However, when, where, and why was the order burned?

BY MR. LOGAN:

o "ill you answer that question, General?

A The secret military documents were ordered to be burned by orders from the Central Command issued to the various areas on about the 14th or 15th of August, 1945. Therefore, the Expeditionary Force

.

,

5

6

-

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

22

23

24 25 in China burned all the documents it had in its possession.

THE PRESIDENT: But why was that secret?

Thy should a document to protect the inhabitants against the Japanese soldiers be a secret document? And as it is pointedly observed by a Member of the Tribunal, why was it secret if it was published in the papers, or why was it published in the papers if it was secret?

THE WITNESS: I believe that regardless of whether the documents were secret or not they were all burned together by the army.

THE PRESIDENT: "ell, that is the first we have heard of that. The order does not cover anything more than secret documents if we understood it rightly -- the order to burn.

BY MR. LOGAN:

- O General, are the contents of this document 923 exactly the same as the order in so far as they go -- the same as the order which was issued by the Supreme Commander in 1943?
- A I believe that the contents of this pertain to the -- at the time of the Hsiangkuei operations -- were issued at the time of the Hsiangkuei operations in 1944.

6

8

9

10

11

124

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Can you tell us why although the order was dated June 20, 1943, it was not published until July 6, 1944?

1. The contents itself was similar. It was issued at the time just prior to the start of the Hsiengkuei operations as instructions to the soldiers -- officers and men, and it was shown at that time to the members of the press, to show them -- to show the intention of the Japanese forces through the press.

THE PRESIDENT: The majority of the Tribunal overrules the objection and admits the document.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 923 will receive exhibit No. 2565.

> (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 2565 and received in evidence.)

21 22

23 24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

M 0 r S & D u d

MR. LOGAN: I will now read defense document 923, being exhibit 2565:

"The Asahi Shimbun

"6 July 1944.

"(Dispatched on the 5th, from a member of the information corps from the front lines of Hunan Province.)

"Published with the title, 'Embrace the People with Great Love.'

"To the officers and men:

"(First portion omitted)

"Those of you who are stationed in the front lines to execute this holy war should especially embrace the people of China with great love, and it is earnestly hoped that your conduct should not in any way be shameful in the eyes of heaven and earth.

- "(A) You must not loot, rape or violate the innocent multitude of people, and do not burn down the houses of the people.
- "(B) Unless for official business you must not enter any private homes or take off with any utensils or destroy them.
- "(C) Do not forget to pay reasonable compensation when you purchase any commodities.
 - "(D) Regard the prevailing currency as though

24

25

| - | it can be circulated freely as heretofore. |
|----|--|
| 1 | "(E) Coolies should not be requisitioned |
| 2 | arbitrarily. |
| 3 | "(F) Even those who seem harmful to the |
| 4 | Imperial Army, with the exception of those whose |
| 5 | Imperial Army, with the exception of the runished |
| 6 | conduct is directly hostile, should not be punished |
| 7 | arbitrarily, but should be treated according to orders |
| 8 | from superior officers. |
| 9 | "(G) Regarding soldiers of the Chungking |
| 10 | Army who surrender by ceasing resistance and bring |
| 11 | the certificate of voluntary cessition of hostilities, |
| 12 | they must be reported to superior officers at once and |
| 13 | orders of these officers carried out. |
| 14 | "Supreme Commander of Japan. |
| 15 | "June 20, 1943." |
| 16 | Your witness. |
| 17 | THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr. |
| 18 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 19 | BY MR. COMYNS CARR: |
| 20 | Q Mr. Witness, with regard to the last two |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| | Whose concaco as an analysis |

A Actually because I was not stationed in the

very front lines I am not able -- I don't know of this

point.

1 2

3

6

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

> 15 16

17

19

18

21 22

20

23 24

25

| 0 | But the | the | order excepts particularly those |
|---------|---------|-----|---|
| | | | the series then erbitrarily |
| persons | | the | injunction not to punish them arbitrarily |

This was done as a preventive . measure so that such things would not occur.

THE PRESIDENT: Could it mean that a Japanese private could shoot anybody whose conduct he regarded as directly hostile?

THE WITNESS: When a person is engaged in hostile acts I believe he can be dealt with accordingly.

But what is meant by punishing them arbitrarily?

It means that -- it includes the meaning that acts of atrocity should not be permitted.

Well, now with regard to pagagraph (G), the "soldiers of the Cungking frmy who surrender by ceasing resistance and bring 'the certificate of voluntary cessation of hostilities.'" What was this certificate?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Carr, we are missing all this.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I don't know if your Honor caught the question. Shall I repert it?

THE PRESIDENT: No. The shorthand writer will. (Whereupon, the last question was read by the official court remorter.)

I believe this was a piece of paper, posteard

pize, in which it said that the bearer of this certificate would be treated, would be given preferential treatment.

- Q Then was a soldier of the Chungking Army who surrendered but did not have a certificate to be shot without reference to superior officers?
- In accordance with general handling of such matters, regulations for general handling of such matters, this was carried out. I believe that this certificate said that those possessing this certificate would especially be given special treatment or preferential treatment.
- Q But is not this document an invitation to the troops to shoot those who did not have a certificate?
 - A I do not believe so.

THE PRESIDENT: Would it be an invitation to a private soldier, a private Japanese soldier, to shoot an unarmed civilian who displayed hostility in some way?

THE WITNESS: It does not invite them to do so. In fact, on the contrary, it tells them that they should not do so.

THE PRESIDENT: That isn't the meaning of it. However, there is no provision in this order for punishing those who break the order. That is

noted by one of my colleagues. MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I am content to leave it there. MR. LOGAN: May the witness be excused on the usual terms? THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. LOGAN: May the witness USHIJIMA, Sadao be called to the stand.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SADAO USHIJIMA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. LOGAN:

- What is your name and address?
- My address is Tokyo-to, Suginami-ku, Nishidamachi, 1-chome 779. Ny name is USHIJIMA, Sadao.
- Q Will you examine defense document No. 1089 and tell us if it is your afficavit with your signature thereon and your seal affixed thereto?
 - 1. Yes, this is mine.
- Are the statements contained in that affidavit accurate and true?
 - A Yes.
- MR. LOGAN: I offer in evidence defense document 1089.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution submit that the whole of paragraph 3 of the affidavit is irrelevant and should be rejected. It is submitted that the matters covered by that paragraph are not related to any count in the Indictment or to any issue involved in the case.

THE PRESIDENT: It may be irrelevant, but it is commendably brief or moderate.

Yes, Mr. Logan?

MR. LOGAN: It refers to the destruction of certain towns which the prosecution charged us with having bombed and pillaged, and so forth.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, I think we should say that to the best of our knowledge none of these towns come within any part of the prosecution evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: You would need a very detailed knowledge of the geography of China to appreciate that. Personally, I don't.

A majority of the Court sustains the objection and rejects the document as to paragraph 3 thereof only.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1089 will receive exhibit No. 2566.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2566 and received in evidence with the exception of paragraph 3 thereof.)

MR. LOGAN: I will now read defense document 1089, being exhibit 2566, the affidavit of USHIJIMA, Sadao. Paragraph 2:

"I was appointed Commander of the 18th

2

3

4

5

.

7

8

9

11

124

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

Division in September 1937, (the 12th year of Showa), and went on active service for the China Incident.

In November of this same year I landed at Hangchow Bay, and entered the walled city of Hangchow in December."

Paragraph 4, page 4:

be in devastation due to extreme destruction and pillaging were often the result of outrageous looting and arson committed by the Chinese forces prior to the occupation of the place by Japanese forces.

Whenever a battle turned out to be disadvantageous to them, the Chinese would immediately change into civilian clothes and commit illegal acts by intermingling with innocent civilians. They would conceal themselves and threaten us in the rear. We called them the guerrilla troops and always found great difficulty in dealing with them.

"Moreover, in various places in China unregistered vagrants without homes banded together calling themselves destitutes. There were many of these particular inhabitants who braved danger during battle to search corpses for money and goods, and broke into houses, evacuated by the townsfolk who had taken refuge from the horrors of war, and nonchalantly

7 8

12.

plundered their property. "Though I went through a great deal of fighting in various places as division commander, I used to exert the utmost efforts to enforce strict military discipline among my men. Therefore I firmly believe that there was absolutely no one in my command who committed an illegal act. "Since it was my belief that we couldn't lose in the war with China, there was no need to worry about the issue. I frequently gave instructions to 11 the effect that we should only be mindful of the spride of our Imperial Army and to act with benevolence and 13 tenderness towards the Chinese people." 14 I shall omit the last sentence and poem. 15 Your witness. 16 THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam. 17 BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, 18 the prosecution does not desire to cross-examine. 19 MR. LOGAN: May the witness be released on 20 the usual terms? 21 THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly. 22 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 23 MR. LOGAN: I ask that the witness SANO, 24 Torata, be called to the witness stand.

While we are waiting, if the Tribunal please,

t

4

*

0

8

.

9

BY MR. LOGAN:

11

12:

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

I might say in accordance with the instructions this morning one of my colleagues has gone through this afficavit and climinated a great portion of it.

TORATA SANO, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q What is your name and address?

Λ My address is Chiba-ken, Kimitsu-gun, Onukimachi, Chigusa-Shinden, No. 707. My name is SANO, Torata.

Q Will you examine defense document 1002 and tell us if that is your affidavit with your signature on it and your seal affixed thereto?

Does the witness know I asked him a question?

- A. Yes, I understood the question. This is my affidavit.
- Q Are the statements contained in that affidavit accurate and true?
 - A It is accurate.

HR. LOGAN: Before offering this, if the Tribunal please, I understand that the prosecution has

some objection. In view of the fact that we intend to eliminate much of it, maybe if we could get together during the lunch period it would save a great deal of time.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until halfpast one.

(Whereupon, at 1155, a recess was taken.)

-

Spratt & Yelden

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

T O R A T A S A N O, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I now offer in evidence defense document No. 1002, that is, the marked portions thereof, copies of which have been taken up with the prosecution.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1002

will receive exhibit No. 2567.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2567 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I now read certain extracts from document 1002, being exhibit No. 2567, which is the affidavit of SANO, Torata. On page 2, paragraph No. 3:

"I took part in the Battle of Hankow, as

Commander of the 23d Infantry Regiment belonging to

the USHIJIMA Detachment of the 6th Division; on October 26 I entered "ankow as leader of the advance

unit. On November 5 we evacuated the said city."

Page 3, fifth line from the bottom: "About 11 p.m. I went back to the advance unit headquarters in the village of Taokuanchien and looked over the documents from the higher headquarters concerning preparations to be made for the intended October 26 occupation of Hankow. These documents had been dropped on the previous day by an airplane of our army.

"I have no clear recollection of the description of the papers nor of their number; however, of special importance were those specifying the instructions of Commander-in-Chief of the Central China Army HATA concerning the Hankow occupation and the matters relating to the arrangements between the Army and the Navy. The perusal of the documents made me realize the seriousness of the occasion so forcibly that I at once made up my mind to act in faithful obedience to the commander's wish so that the true worth of the Japanese Army might be proved in the eyes of the foreign residents. I called Captain CHOSHO, regimental adjutant, and ordered him to transmit the

3 4

2

6

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

Commander-in-Chief's instructions, enjoining him at the same time to see that they be strictly enforced. I also ordered my subordinate officers -- battalion and company commanders -- that before we begin the occupation march the next day it should be arranged that a number of soldiers be left about the place where we had bivounced to look after the arms, materials, impedimenta and other things and that the troops who were to join the march should be those that had been chosen specially for the purpose.

"Orders, notices and other announcements issued by the Central Army Headquarters were, as a rule, to pass through the corps, division and brigade before they were transmitted to the lower formation. However, in the present case I concluded that owing to the unexpectedly speedy progress it was feared that the instructions, if they were transmitted through the customary channels, would fail to reach the advance guard of the pursuing unit in time, and so they were careful enough to deliver the instructions directly to the advance troops. (As a matter of fact, when the headquarters of the pursuit unit was at Huangpo the headquarters of the division was located in the vicinity of Hsinchu, 60 kilometers to the rear.) This scrupulous care was specially taken, I thought,

to prevent the subordinates from falling into mistakes, and I read the instructions with extreme gratitude."

DIRECT

Page 7, sixth line from the top: "Before beginning to cross the river, Detachment Commander USHIJIMA told us to faithfully obey the instructions given by Central Army Commander-in-Chief HATA and our other superior officers concerning the Hankow occupation, enjoining us to enhance the glory of the regimental colors."

Page 8, first line: "Shortly after one o'clock the advance party of the unit headquarters arrived at the northwestern end of the French Concession, when the 3d Battalion Commander who was at the head of the unit sent the following report requesting at the same time instructions as to how to deal with the situation.

"The Italian Consul-General has communicated to us to the following effect. How shall I deal with this?

"The Chinese Army has already withdrawn from Hankow, but it is rumored that there are mines laid on certain roads. If the Japanese Army desires it, we shall be glad to send a guide to lead them along a safe road on their march to Hankow.'

2 3 4

"The unit commander decided to enter the city led by the guide whom the Italian Consul-General had proposed to send, and at the same time to relax battle preparedness to some extent, adopting rather a war-and-peace attitude and to conduct a formal entry ceremony."

Page 9, fifth line: "We followed the safe roads for the most part indicated on the map of Hankow (attached to these papers);" -- they will be submitted hereafter, your Honor -- "we passed round the French concession, taking over on the way the empty Hankow Peace Preservation Office and other Chinese rights and interests, and finally found ourselves a little after 4 o'clock in front of the

Hupeh Telegraph Office in the old British Settlement.
"In the British Settlement there was an

area set aside for the sake of distressed people; so
Captain HIGO, Commander of the 9th Company was ordered
to post a guard and post sentries so that no unit,
soldier or attached civilian should enter the area.
The corps headquarters and the 9th Company were
billeted at the Hupeh Telegraph Office and at empty
houses nearby, while the rest of the corps were
billeted at empty houses in the neighborhood of the

Kianghan Road."

1 2 3

Page 10, seventh line from the bottom:

"As no battle was engaged in on the 26th in Hankow city or in its vicinity, our unit had no person either killed or wounded and on the part of the Chinese troops too there was nobody killed, wounded or taken prisoner.

7

8

9

10

11

5

"Just as the SANO Unit marched on to the coast road lying in the neighborhood of southwest edge of the Japanese settlement, on October 26 at about 1 p.m. and sighted a Japanese naval unit sailing up the Yangtsekang on board a cruiser-type warship and, afterwards at about 5 p.m., also a landing party consisting of about 20 men marching eastwards passing by the front of the Telegraphic Office of Hupei Province. On entering the Hankow city, the unit was followed by about twenty correspondents from all Japanese

"The French Settlement, it was recognized,

had been surrounded with wire entanglements and the

entrance in and exit of its principal roads had been

closely shut off with blocks and guarded by armed

troops. It was obvious also that on the coast road

within the bounds of its settlement, guards had been

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

placed so as to prevent Japanese troops and civilians from passing.

newspapers.

"I remember that Major AKAGI, staff officer despatched by the 6th Division, called on the German Consulate General on October 20 at about 19 o'clock, and made request asking them to commence negotiations with the French Consul General in order to get the closed coast road opened to the Japanese troops and civilians for their free passage, but without avail.

"Becoming aware of the negotiations commenced by the officer AKAGI, the SANO unit the same night issued an order to prohibit units, troops and attached civilians from entering or going out of the French Settlement and, moreover, mounted guards in order to execute this order of prohibition, and prohibit any entry in the direction of the coast road."

Page 14, commencing with the last paragraph:
"The 23rd Infantry Regiment which had been on guard duty in the former British Settlement were reported to have been removed, around November 4, to the Taiyahsien district after handing over the guard duties to a unit under the 13th Division. No sooner did the British authorities of the former settlement know of this change than they made a request to the military authorities requesting the cancellation of the move under the plea that an unexpected removal of the guard so noted for their military discipline and public

1 2

,

DIRECT

morality and so highly appreciated by the inhabitants would surely bring great disappointment to the latter. Responding to the request, the military authorities gave order to the then 9th guard company (Company Commander, Captain Higo Morihide) of the Regiment, to mount guard continuously in Hankow for one month after the departure of the Regiment's main strength from the city and towards the early part of December they arrived at Shihhweihk'u, Tayeh-hsien, site of camps of the regiment proper. The circumstances at that time were reported by the Japanese vernacular press and the excerpt from the 'Miyasaki Shimbun' hereto attached, even though its contents differs a little from actual facts is reliable material from which to judge how the discipline and the morality of the unit were appreciated and to what degree the Hankow inhabitants placed reliance upon them. 18

"It was just at the time of the reception of the Area Army Commander, HATA, on November 3 that the unit commander SANO marched out to the Custom House wharf near the former foreign settlement on November 3d, and as both sides of the road were lined with guard troops, gendarmerie and others, it was impossible to discern whether or not the camped troops were also present on both sides of the route running from

1

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

Chungshan Road to the Custom House Road.

"At that time I did not sight any troops, any military personnel or any civilians passing by the coast road which lies along the lower end of the Custom House wharf.

"As there was no prisoner taken in Hankow city area by the 23d Infantry Regiment, no incident came to pass regarding prisoners.

"The main force of the Chinese troops, before October 24, and a part of the remaining troops, in the evening of October 25, respectively commenced to retreat so that as of October 26, this retreat was complete and as there was no battle engaged in between the Chinese and Japanese troops in the area of Taikiashan and Hankow city district there was therefore not a single Chinese prisoner of war."

Page 19, first sentence: "The first photograph attached to this document was taken on a road near the southwest part of the Japanese settlement, and shows the headquarters of the SANO Unit about to march on the road towards the Riverside Road. The second photograph shows the Unit solemnly passing by the French Settlement.

"Both photographs, which were taken by a war correspondent and appeared in the homeland newspapers,

show us the peaceful and quiet atmosphere of the marching troops, suggesting that this atmosphere is quite different from the excited air to be expected if they were of troops marching through a street immediately after a fierce battle."

Skip a paragraph: "The 23d Infantry
Regiment, rewarded letters of praise during the
China Incident, was strict in its order and discipline,
and perfect in its education and training. This will
be testified to by the fact that there was not even
one soldier who had been punished during my term of
office as the Regimental Commander until October of
the 15th year of Showa (2 years and 5 months), except
one case in which a noncommissioned officer was
punished with light disciplinary confinement owing to
his responsibility for preventing fire, when a
Chinese coolie burnt three cans of gasoline by mishandling.

"From the above, I can conclude definitely that the soldiers and officers of the 23d Infantry Regiment never murdered war prisoners.

"I believe that there could never occur any murder of war prisoners on the Yangtze River fronting the Riverside Road, inasmuch as I remember, the part of the said road east of (in the direction of the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

downstream of the Yangtze River) the wharf of the customs house was closed to Japanese troops and civilians on 27th and on 28th of October.

"Moreover, as on 27th and 28th of October, the facilities for crossing the Tackuanchien River were yet incomplete owing to shortness of material for the work, and thereupon the 6th Division ordered the Commander of the 6th Engineer Regiment to exercise direction and control over the personnel and materials of the units which were rushing to enter Hankow City, the units could not accompany war prisoners. This fact will also tend to show that there could not have been any massacre of war prisoners at that time. The apparatus used by the 6th Division for crossing the river was light rivercrossing equipment made of plywood for one company which was in the custody of the river-crossing. Equipment Company attached to the Division from the 11th Division. Among these, however, there were some worn-out boats, so the boats which were actually used to construct the bridge numbered only 20. The capacity of the boxt-bridge to transport troops, moreover, was very small, perhaps 4,000 men on foot a day at the maximum. I

20 22 23

25

suppose, on these facts, that by the evening of October 28th, if it was carried cut in good order, the 45th Infantry Regiment, the second unit in the Divisions marching order, finished crossing the river, and the artillery and infantry of the vehicle units and pioneers of every company were crossing the river. The water units of the army, though they arrived at Hanshu in the evening of October 27th, arrived at Hankow in the afternoon of the next day after the USHIJIMA Branch Corps entry into Hankow, so it was impossible for them who were not engaged in battle in Hankow to get war prisoners there. And the said units advanced in the rear of the ships and boats of the navy during the attack at the Wu-Han area and they did not fight with the Chinese water units, nor have I heard that they fought on land. So there was no chance for them to get war prisoners.

"The naval units, too, would necessarily get no war prisoner because they landed at Hankow during the evening of October 26th, that is several hours after the 23rd Infantry Unit entered the city, moreover their landing place was the same as that of the latter."

24 25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

suppose, on these feets, that by the evening of October 28th, if it was carried out in good order, the 45th Infantry Regiment, the second unit in the Divisions marching order, finished crossing the river, and the artillery and infantry of the vehicle units and pioneers of every company were crossing the river. The water units of the army, though they arrived at Hanshu in the evening of October 27th, arrived at Hankow in the afternoon of the next day after the USHIJIMA Branch Corps entry into Hankow, so it was impossible for them who were not engaged in battle in Hankow to get war prisoners there. And the said units advanced in the rear of the ships and boats of the navy during the attack at the Wu-Han area and they did not fight with the Chinese water units, nor have I heard that they fought on land. So there was no chance for them to get war prisoners.

"The naval units, too, would necessarily get no war prisoner because they landed at Hankow during the evening of October 26th, that is several hours after the 23rd Infantry Unit entered the city, moreover their landing place was the same as that of the latter."

23 24

11

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

11

14

15

suppose, on these facts, that by the evening of 1 October 28th, if it was carried cut in good order, the 45th Infantry Regiment, the second 3 unit in the Divisions marching order, finished crossing the river, and the artillery and in-5 fantry of the vehicle units and pioneers of every company were crossing the river. The water units 7 of the army, though they arrived at Hanshu in 8 the evening of October 27th, arrived at Hankow 9 in the afternoon of the next day after the 10 USHIJIMA Branch Corps entry into Hankow, so it was impossible for them who were not engaged in 12: battle in Hankow to get war prisoners there. And 13 the said units advanced in the rear of the ships and boats of the navy during the attack at the Wu-Han area and they did not fight with the 16 Chinese water units, nor have I heard that they 17 fought on land. So there was no chance for them 18 19 to get war prisoners. 20

"The naval units, too, would necessarily get no war prisoner because they landed at Harkow during the evening of October 26th, that is several hours after the 23rd Infantry Unit entered the city, moreover their landing place was the same as that of the latter."

22 23 24

21

I ask that the witness be shown defense document No. 999.

Q Will you tell us what that is, General?

That chart is a photograph of a unit of the 23rd Infantry Regiment marching alongside the French Concession -- crossing the bridge in the French Concession. It was taken at that time.

Q And that is the photograph mentioned in your affidavit?

Yes.

MR. LOGAN: I offer defense document 999 in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 999 will receive exhibit No. 2568.

> (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2568 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I ask that the witness be shown defense document 1000.

Will you examine that and tell us what that photograph is?

This photograph is a picture showing the headquarters unit of the SANO Regiment with the

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Regimental Commender heading the group marching in the Japanese Concession of Hankow in the Southwestern part of the city and about to enter a road skirting or along the Yangtze River. Is that you leading the troops, General? A Yes, I am leading this unit. Is that the same photograph mentioned in your affidavit? Yes. PR. LOGAN: I offer in evidence defense document 1000. I just offer the photograph and not the description of it attached to it. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1000 will receive exhibit No. 2569. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2569 and received in evidence.) MR. LOGAN: May the witness be shown defense document 1001. Will you examine that document and tell us what it is, General?

A I shall state what the explanation

written on the upper right-hand corner of this map is.

24

I had rather just have you tell us what the map is, please. A It is a general map showing the various sectors or divisions of Hankow, city of Hankow. Q And it contains a writing on it which shows the position of the troops on various dates; is that so? 7 A Yes, it shows the various routes of 8 entry of the various units and their disposition. 9 Q And that is the march referred to in your 10 affidavit? 11 A Yes. 120 MR. LOGAN: I offer in evidence defense 13. document 1001. 14 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual 15 16 terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 17 1001 will receive exhibit No. 2570. 18 (Whereupon, the document above 19 20 referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2570 and received in evidence.) 21 22 MR. LOGAN: I shall not take the time of 23 the Court to read it. Mr. Roberts will now present

There will be no cross-examination. May

25

24

some evidence.

the witness be excused on the usual terms. THE PRESIDENT: He is released on the usual terms.

> (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

> > THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: Mr. President, on Monday, May 5, I tendered in evidence defense document 1053, which at that time was objected to by Brigadier Nolan on the ground as stated in the record, page 21,420, that "I have no volume from which I could check the accuracy of this excerpt." It is an announcement concerning an Anglo-Japanese Conference recognizing the situation in China on behalf of the British Government and is an official statement by the Prime Hinister made in the House of Commons. I have here the official excerpt from the official report, 5th series, Parliamentary Debates in the House of Commons, which I have proviously shown to the prosecutor for the purpose of comparison with defense document No. 1053.

THE PRESIDENT: What page of the official report?

> MR. ROBERTS: On page 994. In view thereof, I desire at this time

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to read the statement of the British Prime Minister directly from the report.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, we have no objection to that being done, but the extract steps too soon to be clear. If my friend will read over the page down to the point that I am showing to him we should have no objection.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you copies for the Judges?

Were being sent back, but I see the Clerk doesn't seem to have them yet. It is one paragraph, the whole statement.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you may read it.

If any Judge desires to get his copy beforehand
he can intimate so.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, as the copies when they do arrive will be incomplete in view of the agreement we have just made, I suggest that it would be more convenient if my friend read it into the record straight from the Parliamentary report.

THE PRESIDENT: We thought he intended

doing that. IR. ROBERTS: Yes. I read on page 994 2 from the official report, 5th series, Parliamentary Debates, House of Commons. THE PRESIDENT: What is the date? MR. ROBERTS: Monday, 24 July 1939. Statement of the Prime Minister, Mr. Chamberlain. "In order to clear the way for the 8 Tientsin discussion, his Majesty's Government 9 have accordingly agreed upon the following formula 10 with the Japanese Government" ---11 THE PRESIDENT: Haven't the Language 12 Section a copy of what you are about to read? 13 14 THE MONITOR: No, sir. MR. ROBERTS: In view of the fact that 15 I am reading directly from the volume, they do not. 16 Read from No. 1053, if you have it. It 17 is the same thing. 19 THE MONITOR: All right, sir. On what 20 page and what line, sir? 21 MR. FOBERTS: Beginning at the second 22 paragraph, defense document 1053. 23

THE MONITOR: All right, sir.

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

"His Majesty's Govern-MR. ROBERTS (Reading): ment in the United Kingdom fully recognizes the actual situation in China where hostilities on a large scale are in progress and note that, as long as that state of affairs continues to exist, the Japanese forces in China have special requirements for the purpose of safeguarding their own security and maintaining public order in regions under their control, and that they have to suppress or remove any such acts or causes as will obstruct them or benefit their enemy.

"His Majesty's Government has no intention of countenancing any act or measures prejudicial to the attainment of the above-mentioned objection by Japanese forces, and they will take this opportunity to confirm their policy in this respect by making it plain to British authorities and British nationals in China that they should refrain from such acts and measures."

Then the question by Mr. Arthur Henderson that Mr. Carr has asked to be read: "Do these contentions, in effect, constitute a de facto recognition of Japanese sovereignty in respect of those parts of Chinese territories which are now under the control of the Japanese armed forces?"

24 25

The Prime Minister: "No."

THE MONITOR: Mr. Roberts, we do not have the document, sir. Will the court reporter repeat the question by Mr. Henderson slowly?

(Whereupon, the question referred to was read by the official court reporter.)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MM. TAVENNER: If it pleases the Tribunal, the prosecution desires at this time, with the Tribunal's permission, to present a matter relating to one of the prosecution's witnesses. Simultaneous interpretation has been arranged.

THE PRESIDENT: What is the matter?

MR. TAVENNER: It will be recalled that on August 7th, the affidavit of Colonel David D. Barrett, General Staff Corps, U. S. Army, Acting Military Attache at Nanking, was introduced in evidence by the prosecution, exhibit 249, page 3,355 of the transcript.

Colonel Barrett was brought to Tokyo as a witness but was required to return to China before being called. With great difficulty we obtained his presence a second time, but again official duties of an urgent character required his return to his post of duty before testifying.

2 3 4

5 6

7

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

Under these circumstances, his affidavit was tendered in evidence. The Tribunal admitted it in evidence, page 3,352 of the transcript, on the terms that the deponent may be called later if necessary or desirable, and also that he may be subject to interrogation on the affidavit by the defense if he is not called. After the affidavit was read, the Tribunal announced, page 3,365 of the transcript, that the affidavit is in evidence but that no action would be taken on it until the deponent is produced for cross-examination.

"The situation since that time has been as follows: In October, Colonel Barrett was sent to Manila to attend an important Intelligence conference, and on December 12th he was sent on official business to the United States and did not return to China until April 15.

Within ten days after his return Army Headquarters in China reached him by radio, in response to which, after obtaining the necessary authority from Washington, he came directly to Tokyo, arriving here yesterday afternoon.

We are under obligation to release Colonel Barrett within a week or ten days. It is respect-fully requested that the Tribunal, taking into con-

3

6

9

10

11

124

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25 sideration the convenience of defense counsel, fix an early date, preferably Monday, May 12, for crossexamination of the witness, if cross-examination is desired.

THE PRESIDENT: We would like to hear the defense.

MR. LOGAN: May we have an opportunity to examine the record and report back on this tomorrow morning, please?

THE PRESIDENT: We will give our decision after we have heard the defense tomorrow morning.

Mr. Freeman.

MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal please, the defense next presents to the Tribunal the affidavit of SAKURAI, Tokutaro which has to do with the absence of atrocities in the area of North China in which he commanded Japanese troops.

If the Tribunal pleases, this witness has not been able to reach here, and the prosecution has agreed that I may read the affidavit if the Court will accept it.

THE PRESIDENT: The prosecution are not objecting to this affidavit being tendered in evidence?

Li. TAVENNER: We have not proposed to crossexamine, your Honor, and that's the reason we are not

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

objecting.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, there seems to be no need to call the deponent.

You tender the affidavit?

MR. FREEMAN: Yes, I tender it. It is document 1342.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1342 will receive exhibit No. 2571.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2571 and received in evidence.)

MR. FREEMAN: I now read defense document 1342, being exhibit 2571:

"Deponent: SAKURAI, Tokutaro Having first duly sworn on oath as an attached sheet and in accordance with the procedure followed in my country I hereby depose as follows:

"I was born at No. 462-3, Haruyoshi, Fukuoka City, and am at the age of 51. I am now living at Tsuno-machi, Koyu-Gun, Miyazaki Prefecture, and was formerly a soldier.

"From August 1st of 1942 till July 31st of 1943, I stayed at Satzo, Kianglinghsien, while holding the post of Commander of the 65th Infantry Regi-

ment of the 13th Division. At that time the Governor of Kianglinghsien was a man called Chang Tsu-to,
who conducted all administration concerning that
area. The Army was not directly concerned with
this. I was therefore told nothing about troubles
among the Chinese people. As to troubles which had
occurred between the Japanese troops and them, I
received information from the Governor, and passed
fair judgments in those cases.

"If our soldiers committed any unlawful deeds, they were sternly punished by the Gendarmerie according to military criminal law, and damage, if done to the people, was to be repaid through the Governor. Obeying orders of my superior, I never failed to treat the people benevolently, and endeavoured to maintain peace and order and to encourage industry. Therefore, no treacherous deed was ever committed.

"While in my post, I heard nothing concerning the affair of platoon commander NAKAGAWA,
Seitchiro under my command burning a house and stealing a cow at Lingkwan on March 5, 1943.

"With interested persons such as the chiefpriest of the Changhua Temple, an old one at Shatzo, the Governor, and others, I built a mass tower for

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the dead in a park and held a mass for the souls of the Japanese and Chinese soldiers and of the people. I never heard these interested persons speak of any unlawful deeds committed by the Japanese troops.

"21st day of April, 1947."

I next present to the Tribunal the witness YOKOYAMA, Isamu who will testify to the absence of atrocities of Changsha, Hengyang, Kueilin and Liuchow. This evidence relates to counts 48, 49 and 50 in the Indictment.

ISAMU YOKOYAMA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q Will you give your name, please?
- A My name is YOKOYAMA, Isamu.
- Q Will you please examine document 875 and see if that is your affidavit and, if so, if its contents are true?

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

THE PRESIDENT: Surely he is not going to

| A Very well. Q Is that your affidavit? A. Yes. MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal please, I'll ead it. THE PRESIDENT: Is it correct? Is that |
|--|
| Q Is that your affidavit? . Yes. MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal please, I'll ead it. THE PRESIDENT: Is it correct? Is that |
| MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal please, I'll ead it. THE PRESIDENT: Is it correct? Is that |
| MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal please, I'll end it. THE PRESIDENT: Is it correct? Is that |
| end it. THE PRESIDENT: Is it correct? Is that |
| THE PRESIDENT: Is it correct? Is that |
| |
| 10 |
| ffidavit correct? |
| THE WITNESS: There is no mistake. |
| THE PRESIDENT: Well, you had better tender |
| It before you read it, Mr. Freeman. |
| Mr. FREEM.N: I tender in evidence document |
| |
| THE PLESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. |
| CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 875 |
| |
| will receive exhibit No. 2572. |
| (Whereupon, the document above re- |
| ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. |
| 2572 and received in evidence.) |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1 |

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FREEMAN: I will read defense document 875, exhibit 2572, beginning with the second paragraph: "My career is as follows: "Graduated from the Army Academy in December 1908 (the 42nd year of Meiji). "Graduated from the Army Staff College in December 1914 (the 4th year of Taisho). "Commissioned Major-General in March 1936 (the 11th year of Showa). "Commissioned Lieutenant-General in August 1939 (the 14th year of Showa). "Appointed the Commander of the 1st Division. "Served as the Commander of the 11th Army

Corps from December 1942 to December 1944. "Served as the Commander of the Western District Army Corps from December 1944 to October 1945.

"I was the commander of the 11th Army Corps under Supreme Commander HATA of the China Expeditionary Forces from December 1942 to December 1944. The duty of my Army Corps was to launch an attack and occupy Changsha, Hengyang, Kueilin and Liuchow, under the command of Supreme Commander HATA.

"First I shall explain the Changsha military operation.

"In the Changsha military operation three divisions under my command attacked Changsha from both banks of the River Suchiang in the middle of June 1944, and succeeded first in occupying the fort on the western side of the river; as a result of which we could capture Changsha in only two days fighting. As we engaged in no fighting within the city houses remained undamaged, although most of the citizens had taken refuge elsewhere and only few of them remained there. Entering the city immediately after its occupation, I personally inspected the conditions of the city and found that no damage had been caused to the houses and other buildings. In order to avoid bombings by the enemy and the confusion of the city, I ordered my troops not to enter the city. But efter our occupation of Changsha American sirplanes bombed the city every day and finally turned the whole city into ashes. So far as our treatment or attitude toward the people in Changsha were concerned, I took into consideration the Order of "Love the people' of Supreme Commander HATA, which was in perfect accord with my own principle, therefore I practiced it so thoroughly that some 6,000 people who had taken refuge returned before long. While the Japanese forces supplied the

23

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

22

American sirplenes went on destroying and burning the people's houses in their bombings which caused the Chinese citizens to bear a grudge against American forces instead of the Japanese troops.

The big hospital built by Americans was the only building which escaped from American bombing. One of our divisions put the hospital under its custody and it remained undamaged throughout.

"Next, I shall explain the Hengyang military operation. Three divisions were employed again in the attack on Hengyang and sirfield on the opposite bank of the river was soon captured. But around the walls of Hengyang Cheng there were so many marshes hampering the supply our ammunition. Moreover, as the Japanese sirplanes were few in number and the bombing by American air forces was furious, we fought under a great difficulty. Our attack continued for over a week, yet we could not occupy the city, therefore, I myself went out to the front line to encourage the troops, who finally succeeded to capture it.

"We first exhorted the enemy to surrender.

It was later discovered that officers in command
of enemy troops had intention to surrender as soon

3

5

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

0

es practicable, but their subordinates were opposed to it and made the surrender very difficult. After they surrendered we immediately put our principle of 'Love the people' into practice and left the Corps commander, the division commanders and all Moreover, treated them exothers unpunished. actly as they had been entitled prior to their surrender, in addition to giving them salaries and houses to live in. In other words, we left them completely free with no restrictions whetever. Deeply appreciating this treatment they served and cooperated with us to a great extent. times our division commanders dined with them to enhance mutual understanding. In Henyang our forces did not advance into the city, but many people were killed in town due to the bombing by American airplenes. Here too, the citizens had spite against the American forces.

"Next, I shall explain the Kueilin military operation. Kueilin is surrounded by rockymountain and is famous for its impregnability. We employed four divisions for the attack of this city. The division which advanced from the eastern side of the river was lucky enough to succeed in crossing the river, which led to the capture on the 16th of

November. In this operation there was no protrotracted confront between the enemy and us as was
witnessed in the Henyang occupation. The Chinese
forces as well as the American air forces had ran
nway before our seige was completed, leaving no
prisoners of war behind. However, the city of
Kueilin had been subject to considerable damage
and many houses were either destroyed or burnt down.
I can declare positively that this destruction and
burning down of buildings within the city were
carried out deli'erately by the enemny forces
for the purpose of obtaining convenient gun em**recements to ambush our troops.

"Next, I shall explain the Liuchow military operation. I ordered two divisions to attack Luichow simultaneously with the attack on Kueilin.

The city was occupied easily on the 19th of November.

The enemy in Liuchow ran away at the same time when the enemy in Kueilin escaped and no American airplanes participated in this battle. In this operation the 23rd frmy Corps under the command of Lieutenant-General TANAKA, in Kwantung, cooperated with us.

"In the operations above mentioned I strictly ordered my officers and men to observe

2

6

8

9

10

11

12%

13

14

15

16

17

18

the fundamental rules of 'Do not commit crimes', "Do not plunder', 'Do not burn houses', and 'Do not kill', based upon the principle of 'Love the people'. In procuring necessary materials we poid the citizens the price they asked for, and when we were obliged to use the materials left in the house in which nobody was found, I ordered my men to leave there appropriate sum for same. These were so strictly enforced that peace and order could be maintained very satisfactorily. Upon receipt of instructions on several occasions from Supreme Commander HATA concerning principle of 'Love the people' I did everything in my power to see to it that the said principle be observed faithfully. For this purpose, I issued instructions several times to my officers and men in writing or personally gave them to division commanders and chiefs of staff under my command at their meetings. The splendid result witnessed during the operations above mentioned are entirely due to the foregoing efforts. Prior to this, Prince MIKASA was attached to the General Headquarters to whom His Magesty, the Emporer, sent his personal letter, which contained His Majesty's own words to the following effect: 'As this war is a holy war, you must

•

19

20

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12%

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

love the enemy'. The purport of this letter permented into the minds of all the officers and men. Any one of them who failed to observe it was court-mertialed and punished most severely.

"In order to supply food to the citizens provisions for military use were distributed directly to them and markets were opened as that they might get cormodities easily. Since the people fully understood that the Japanese army did not plunder them of their goods they came back to the city and more goods began to come into markets, much to the relief and joy of the people."

I will skip paragraph 9.

"10. The reason why the 11th Army Corps was the only operation army under the command of General HATA was because it was strong in fighting. However, its fundamental principal duty was to preserve peace and order and offensive operations by it were carried out only when it was ordered to do so.

"As to prisoners of war, some of them were duly interned in the P.O.W. camps, and others were allowed either togo home, in accordance with their respective free wishes so long as they kept away from hostile acts or were given jobs for their

living if they wanted to work. For instance, they were employed in repairing railways as in constructing roads. In such cases, they were given reasonable wages besides food and clothes, which made them feel very happy. Some Chinese division commanders who were captured prisoners of war were allowed to take charge of maintaining peace and order in the area which had formerly been under their respective control. The entire responsibility for the maintenance of peace and order were undertaken by such persons and the Renovation Government at Nanking.

"12. The chief of staff of the 11th Army
Corps was Major-General NAKAYAMA, Sadataka, who
was later appointed the chief of staff to General
OKABE at Hankow. I have absolutely no knowledge
about opium, Hupei Goows rice whereas Hopei produces cotton and opium in the regions under my
occupation. In short, the army under my command
applied itself entirely to pure military operations
from beginning to end, during which time the preservation of peace and order, I firmly believe,
was at its best.

"At Sygama Prison, on this 6th day of December 1946."

JUDGE HSIANG: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution does not propose to cross-examine this witness but desires to point out the prosecution's documentary evidence already introduced.

Evidence on matters testified to by this witness and also by the deponents of affidavits marked as Court exhibits 2557 and 2571 -- the prosecution evidence in question appears in the transcript as exhibit 342, pages 4,612 to 4,613;

Exhibits 352 to 359, pages 4,651 to 4,655;

And exhibits 331 to 340, pages 4,609 to
4,610.

MR. FREEMAN: May the witness be released on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. FREEMAN: Defense next offers in evidence document No. 350 which contains instructions of Chiang Kai-shek at the opening of Liuchow Military Conference in 1940. We will read only beginning with Section 8, page 2 and ending on page 4, first paragraph.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

JUDGE HSIANG: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution does not propose to cross-examine this witness but desires to point out the prosecution's documentary evidence already introduced.

Evidence on matters testified to by this witness and also by the deponents of affidavits marked as Court exhibits 2557 and 2571 -- the prosecution evidence in question appears in the transcript as exhibit 342, pages 4,612 to 4,613;

Exhibits 352 to 359, pages 4,651 to 4,655; And exhibits 331 to 340, pages 4,609 to

MR. FREEMAN: May the witness be released on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly.

(Whereupon, the witness was ex
cused.)

MR. FREEMAN: Defense next offers in evidence document No. 350 which contains instructions of Chiang Kai-shek at the opening of Liuchow Military Conference in 1940. We will read only beginning with Section 8, page 2 and ending on page 4, first paragraph.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

4,610.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects to the introduction of this document. It is contained in the publications compiled by the Japanese Headquarters, but there is no real indication as to the source and authenticity of the material in the document.

The point is, if it please your Honor, that the contents of the document appear to be references to Chinese documents and speeches made by Chinese leaders.

THE PRESIDENT: The certificate says the source is in Tokyo.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: But, even if the whole of the contents are accepted as true, it is submitted that they are entirely irrelevant to the case. The prosecution has studied the document carefully, and we regret we are unable to even suggest what application it can be suggested it has.

THE PRESIDENT: No specific individual takes the responsibility for this. He might be the spokesman of the Foreign Office or anybody. But it is supposed to be compiled by the Military Department of the Imperial General Staff Headquarters.

MR. FREFMAN: If the Tribunal pleases, the certificate states that it is compiled by the Mili-

1

4

6

.7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

24

tary Department of the General Staff. And, as I stated in offering the document, I intended only to read beginning with paragraph eight on page 2 to the end of that paragraph.

THE PIESIDENT: How is it relevant, Mr. Freeman?

MR. FREEMAN: This particular paragraph relates to Chiang Kai-shek's comments on a captured enemy document, that being the Japanese, relative to the treatment of prisoners of war.

THE PRESIDENT: What is the purpose of tendering this document? That is what we want to know.

MR. FREEMAN: The purpose is to show that even the Chinese used the Japanese as an example to train their soldiers in the treatment of the wounded and the dead and the captured. I only intended to read one paragraph.

THE PIESIDENT: I will have to take the decision of the Members of the Court.

By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

We will recess now for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.
THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Freeman.

MR. FREEMAN: The defense next presents document No. 1012, which is an affidavit by ARUGA, Kazunaga that has to do with hostilities in the Küéilin-Liuchow operations. This is another affidavit where the witness is not present and to which the prosecution raises no objection to its being read.

THE PRESIDENT: Judge Hsiang.

JUDGE HSIANG: The prosecution offers no objections.

THE PRESIDENT: It is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1012 will receive exhibit 2573.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 2573 and received in evidence.)

MR. FREEMAN: (Reading) "Deponent: ARUGA, Kazunaga.

"Having first duly sworn an oath as on attached sheet and in accordance with the procedure followed in my country, I hereby depose as follows:

"1. I was born at my permanent domicile, No. 1927 Marubayashi, Yamato-mura, Figashi-yamanashigun, Yamanashi Prefecture.

"My present address is c/o MIMORI Shukichi, No. 18 Yuda-machi, Kofu-shi, Yamanashi Prefecture.

"2. My career is roughly as follows:

"On January 15, 18th year of Showa (1943), I was enlisted, as an air-guard, in Unit No. 4358 of the Manchurian Army, stationed in Tungning-hsien, Mutanchiang Province, Manchukuo. I was transferred, on January 19 of the same year, to Unit No. 595, stationed in Hing-lung, Mutanchiang, and was charged with the air-defense of the place until March 14, 19th year of Showa (1944). On the following March 15, my unit moved to North China to take part in the Honan operations. "Then the Honan operations ended, we came down through Middle China to South China and joined the Kueilin-Liuchow operations. In March, 20th year of Showa (1945), we started for Hankow, but while we were still on our way, the war came to a close. My military rank at the termination of the war was Lance-Corporal (with a certificate of fitness for a N.C.O.).

"3. Before the opening of the Honan and the Kueilin-Liuchow operations, we soldiers, who

2

3

79.

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

were going to be engaged in them, were repeatedly
and strictly instructed by our seniors about the
up-keep of our discipline and morale toward the
general Chinese people. Those instructions emphasized
the four items, "Do not burn, kill, plunder, or
violate." These warnings were faithfully followed
by every one of us, soldiers.

"The soldiers of our unit warned one another and strictly observed these four points. I never saw our soldiers commit any criminal acts toward the Chinese populace during the operations.

12.

"Along the advance of the Japanese army, the native people, who had once fled from us, came back to their old abodes in a few days. They soon became attached to the Japanese soldiers and never showed any hostile attitude against us.

"Above all, the women, who ought to have been most afraid of the Japanese soldiers, did not show any sign of fear or anxiety, and often helped us when we were stuck with any difficult task. The children, when they once became friends with us, grew so attached to us that, when we were leaving for the front, they even cried over our departure. The people were quite willing to bring and lend us things, which we badly needed, so we Japanese soldiers,

too, accommodated them with what they truly needed. Sometimes we shared with them even what were indispensable for ourselves.

"During the Kueilin-Liuchow operations I once went to a native's house to borrow a pail, but finding nobody in the house, I took one without asking. But, thinking it not right to borrow a thing without asking, I wrote my name and the name of our unit on a slip of paper and left it with two cakes of washing soap on it.

"Thus, I firmly believe that while our unit fought in various regions, we never did anything which in any way incurred the enmity of the Chinese natives.

"I once saw during the Honan operations a non-commissioned officer bitterly scolding some soldiers who had intruded into a hamlet and were breaking down a native's house.

"There was a soldier who was sentenced by
the Court Martial of Hankow to one year and six
months' penal servitude, because he had been found
by an officer to have carried away things from the
natives without compensation while requisitioning
during a battle. I also know that of their acts of
violence on women, one soldier was sentenced to three
years, and two to seven years' penal servitude.

4 5

Then we were in Liuchow, a soldier was sentenced to six months' penal servitude, for taking about 1 kg. of flour from a native child.

"Such was the actual conditions prevailing in our ermy. Our military morale toward the Chinese natives was strictly kept, and any offence, however trifle, when found, was court-martialed. So we tried to keep as much away from the natives as possible.

"4. I met Marshal HATA at the race course in Hankow. Thile our camp was being moved to the roof of the Army Club Building, we were preparing for our start on the road in the compound of the race course. Marshal HATA came round and asked the soldiers various questions. He questioned me, too. So I answered him cheerfully. His questions were chiefly about food and feeding conditions. At the end, he told me to take care of myself and love the Chinese natives. I was much impressed by his kindness to give advice even to a simple soldier like me, telling me take care of myself and love the Chinese natives. I firmly made up my mind to follow his advice and stuck to my resolution for the rest of the time.

"On this 26th day of January, 1947."

24

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Te next offer in evidence defense document 417, which is a Japanese army headquarters organization ordinance promulgated 10 July 1940, showing the Japanese army chain of command and the responsibilities of each level in that chain of command.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadior Ouilliam.

BRIGADIER DUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is the first of a series of documents which are concerned with the organization and functions of officers in the Japanese army. It is submitted that these documents are irrelevant. The only item that we can point to in the document now under consideration is in Article 9, where it states that the army commander shall superintend matters concerning discipline. That, it is felt, might well be assumed, and it is submitted that the document has no value in the consideration of this case.

MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal pleases, the accused in the dock are charged, among other things, with unlawfully killing and murdering many thousands of civilians and disarmed soldiers in the Republic of China, or else instigating or ordering it to be done. The defense contends that this line of command is the essence to place responsibility if such acts were committed.

THE PRESIDENT: But we know that the Japanese Army has a certain organization, like all other armies. We know that there are chains of command and responsibility. Of what are we ignorant that this discloses?

MR. FREEMAN: The defense contends that orders issued by headquarters establishing the responsibility of the different lines of command is very important. If the field commander had the entire responsibility for the commission of atrocities, if there were any, it is important that that be established. The Tribunal will note there are a number of articles that have been omitted.

THE PRESIDENT: You don't propose to read it, do you?

MR. FREEMAN: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds

the objection and rejects the document.

MR. FREEMAN: The defense next offers in evidence document 660 which is Interior Army regulations promulgated August 11, 1943, which has to do with the conduct of soldiers. It was issued by Army Headquarters.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution object to this document which is the second of a series that I referred to. It is concerned primarily with the functions of the regimental commander, company commander, and other officers and, it is submitted, says neither more nor less than any similar document in respect of any other army would say.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you went all this read if it is admitted?

THE PRESIDENT: Do you propose to read this if it is admitted?

MR. FREIMAN: I propose to read Chapter 1, that is on page 2, General Rules, and Articles 9, 10 and 11 on that page. That is all.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't see the need to prove these things. I will see what my colleages think.

By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. FRIEMAN: Defense next offers in evidence document No. 247, which contains excerpts from Division Headquarters Order, Army Regulation No. 13, dated 10 July 1939, showing the responsibilities of a division commander in the Japanese Army.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier (uilliam.

BRIGADIFR QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is the third of a series. It is concerned with the functions of a divisional commander and the prosecution objects on the same grounds as before.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. FREEMAN: The next document we offer in evidence is document 1175, which is a note sent by Foreign Minister KONOYE to the ambassadors of various countries in Tokyo with respect to Japanese military operations in South China. This rote was sent the 12th day of October, 1938. This shows that the Japanese Government at all times endeavored in every way possible to protect the interests and properties of third powers whill hostilities were going on.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

1 2

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution object to the introduction of this document, which is a press release. It is issued by the Information Bureau, as is shown, and there is no proof that it was ever communicated to any of the other powers. We submit that a matter of this kind should be proved in a proper manner and not in this way.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Freeman, what have you to say in support of the document?

MR. FREEMAN: It is offered in evidence to show that third powers -- that the Japanese Government attempted at all times to protect the rights and interests of third powers, and it is the best evidence we have. It has a certificate of source and authenticity from the Chief of Archives. It is an excerpt from an official document.

THE PRESIDENT: Its relevance and materiality are giving us concern. Not where it comes from. The source is high enough but that doesn't determine its quality.

By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. FREEMAN: Defense next offers in evidence document 259, which is the address of Prime Minister

1 2 3

YONAI to the Diet on 1 February 1940, in which the Prime Minister explained to the Diet that Japan would not involve herself in the European conflict which had broken out but was interested only in terminating the China Incident.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is another speech by a prime minister. As your Honor mentioned this morning, similar speeches have been admitted, but the prosecution submits that this amiable collection -- amiable platitudes should be rejected as we have had this kind of thing too often before.

MR. FREEMAN: The defense submit that this document is of value. It is a speech by the prime minister. The fact the prosecution feels it is an amiable platitude is just one persons opinion. The accused here are charged with a conspiracy.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. FREEMAN: Mr. Williams will continue.

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Villiams.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: If the Tribunal please, at 3 the request of Japanese counsel, I shall present one more document pertaining to Hankow. This is defense document No. 147, an article entitled, "Why do we attack Hankow?" by Shujitsu OZAKI, setting forth the reasons for the taking of Hankow by the Japanese.

We now offer defense document No. 147 into evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, it is submitted that this is a particularly objectionable document. It purports to be an extract from some book published at some unstated time by some persons whom nobody else, I suggest, has ever heard of. It is submitted that it can have no probative value at all, and the prosecution asks that it should be rejected.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: If the Tribunal please, I am not familiar with Japanese so I cannot say of my own knowledge that this is or is not an excerpt. My understanding is that this is the article in its entirety. It is submitted that this is of definite probative value to Count 47, I believe it is, which charges the accused, certain of the accused, with violations of

21

international law in the attack on Hankow.

THE PRESIDENT: We are to be guided by his opinion on such evidence as he cares to take, and you submit that we should. This is an argument by a person who is not before us, who is not counsel, and who is not acting on the evidence before us. The thing is preposterous. It is the sort of thing that we condemned this morning in that statement. It achieves nothing but a waste of material and time.

The Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I might add, if your Honor please, just one comment. This was only tendered for the factual material it contains, and not for the opinion.

Although it is somewhat out of order at this stage of the China Phase, it is felt that the Treaty of Commerce and Navigation of 1896 between China and Japan should be offered as essential background material. This treaty is embodied in defense document No. 792, which we now offer in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution is concerned to know what relevance the defense attaches to this document. In

our submission it is irrelevant, but it is a voluminous document and it may well be that we missed some point upon which the defense rely.

THE PRESIDENT: We have yet to see it.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I am sorry, your Honor.

I was informed that all documents had been sent to
the clerk.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, proceed with some other matter, Mr. Williams.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: In refutation of the charge of economic expleitation contained in Section 3, Appendix A of the Indictment, we offer a list of industrial plants returned to the Chinese from temporary military control, contained in defense document No. 1436, which we now offer in evidence.

THE MONITOR: This is out of order, so will you give us a few moments, please?

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I skipped document 1133.

THE MONITOR: Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: If the Tribunal please, although this is a newspaper article, it is submitted that its contents are of sufficient importance and in adequate detail to warrant the receipt into evidence of this document by the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

PRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, we cannot object to the relevance of the contents of this document, but as the certificate shows, it is merely an extract from a newspaper article.

THE PRESIDENT: The man who wrote it may have drawn on his imagination. We don't know who he is. We don't even know the date.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: That is our objection, if it please your Honor. There is nothing to indicate its authenticaty.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: If the Tribunal please, the certificate on the back shows it was issued on July 7, 1941.

THE PRESIDENT: That appears to be the year.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: In the absence of other material on this point, your Honor, we urge that this be received although it is a newspaper article.

THE PRESIDENT: Surely there are other means available to you of proving this.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: If your Honor please, if any of this material offends the Court's ruling this morning, I should like to add, or point out, that I had nothing to do with the preparation of this material and was only familiar with it as of yesterday.

24

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

THE PRESIDENT: Our observations are quite impersonal, Mr. Williams.

The Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

8 9

. 19

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I made that observation, your Honor, so that it would not be thought by the Tribunal that there is or is not other material on this point. I merely cannot give an answer to your Honor's observation.

In order to show the instructions to the Japanese Army concerning its behavior and actions toward the Chinese given while the defendant HATA was Commander in Chief of the China Expeditionary Forces, we offer in evidence defense document No. 1274.

I am sorry, your Honor, I have just been informed by the prosecution that this is an exhibit already.

THE PRESIDENT: You withdraw the tender, I suppose?

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I withdraw the document.

In answer to the allegations contained in prosecution exhibit No. 971 to the effect that American properties in Shanghai have not been protected by the Japanese--

THE MONITOR: Mr. Counsel, we do not have the leading sentence and it has not been submitted to the section. We may have to do it relay system.

THE PRESIDENT: We do not want any relays if it can be helped except for a line or two.

3 4 5

3

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19 20

21

24 25

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I regret that I do not have an adequate and complete running commentary in the hands of the translation section. I ask that brief translations be given as I go along with the indulgence of the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: How long is the statement you propose to read?

MR. G. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, this statement is only one page and two lines.

THE PRESIDENT: Why put us in this predicament of having to give a decision on such a point?

Brigadier Quilliam, are you objecting to the document?

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: If it please your Honor, we do object. It is a Foreign Office spokesman docu-16, ment of the kind that has previously been rejected by the Tribunal, document No. 1329.

THE PRESIDENT: We have consistently rejected statements by the spokesmen of the Foreign Office.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I am aware of that, your Honor. This was a direct answer to the prosecution's exhibit and therefore I thought the Tribunal might be interested in having it, seeing it.

THE PRESIDENT: Every line of evidence you tender is an answer or supposed to be.

Mr. Lazarus.

MR. LAZARUS: If the Tribunal please, as the Tribunal is aware, I am the chairman of the China phase. In accordance with the Tribunal's request this morning for the cooperation of the defense in keeping out documents which patently the Tribunal will refuse, I spent the morning and the afternoon pulling out documents in the remainder of the China phase with the result that we are ready at this time to present only one more subdivision, namely, the last one on the Wang Ching-wei government. I will pull out all the remaining documents in the China phase for the first five subdivisions except the affidavits of five witnesses "ho will be presented, probably tomorrow.

Because of the paper shortage during this entire week the prosecution had been unable, in spite of its desire to assist us, in producing any documents for us whatsoever this week, with the result that we are not quite ready to proceed with the last subdivision, the Wang Ching-wei.

THE PRESIDENT: You have five witnesses for tomorrow, is that so?

MR. LAZARUS: I beg your pardon, sir?
THE PRESIDENT: You have five witnesses?

MR. LAZARUS: If their affidavits will come through on time, sir, but we doubt it. This afternoon the prosecution notified us that they had received paper and are again beginning to process our documents, but because no documents to speak of were processed this week either by the prosecution for us or by the defense section because of the lack of paper, and because of the fact that we are pulling out all documents except in the last subdivision, we respectfully request this Tribunal not to sit tomorrow and give us one day to reform our lines and present only the last subdivision in the China phase beginning Monday morning. I could take tomorrow and present to you gentlemen documents that would keep us in court all day but we are sure you will knock out most of them, and in accordance with your request we highly desire to cooperate with you and we respectfully submit if the adjournment is given to us we will not submit these documents. We will present Monday morning the Wang Ching-wei and only the few affidavits

THE PRESIDENT: What have you to say, Mr. Tavenner?

in the fifth subdivision.

remaining in this subdivision and no further documents

MR. TAVENNER: I am very much surprised to

2

6

9

12

13

11

14

15 16

18

17

19

21

23 24

hear that there have been no documents processed during the period of time counsel stated as we gave instructions not to stop the delivery of paper. There may have been a confusion of orders in regard to the matter which I will investigate. If the defense does not have the documents to proceed, I do not see that the prosecution can make any suggestion about the matter.

THE PRESIDENT: Apparently we must accede, as a Member of the Tribunal has observed.

We will adjourn until half-past nine on Monday morning.

MR. LAZARUS: Thank you, sir.

(Whereupon, at 1553, an adjournment was taken until Monday, 12 May 1947, at 0930.)

13.